



CRM-M-45897-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

CRM-M-45897-2024
Date of Decision : 13.11.2024

Kulwinder Kaur @ Preeti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 16.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.89 dated 21.04.2024, under Sections 420/467/468/ 471/120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. City Jagraon, District Ludhiana.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, neither any money has been transferred in the petitioner’s bank account, nor the petitioner is beneficiary of any loan. In fact, the allegations are against the main accused Manpreet Singh, who was Branch Manager of the complainant company.*
- 3. At this stage, Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate, records his appearance on behalf of the complainant, under a validly executed Vakalatnama instituted before*



this Court today, and, opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion for 13.11.2024.

5. Mr. Raghav Garg, A.A.G, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

6. To be heard with CRM-M-40951-2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by SI Harpreet Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and she is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 16.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be

read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 13, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No