



CRM-M-45486-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216-1)

CRM-M-45486-2024
Date of Decision : 21.10.2024

Tara Chand ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 12.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.135 dated 15.07.2024, under Sections 384, 506 of the IPC, registered at P.S. City Rajpura, District Patiala.*
- 2. Succinctly stated, the allegation(s) against the petitioner is that, he extorted ₹ 1,00,000/- from the complainant, by blackmailing to get him implicated in a suicide case bearing FIR No.73/16.04.2022, registered under Section 306 of the IPC.*
- 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, the allegation (supra) is totally false, inasmuch as, in the FIR (supra), the Final Report has already been presented against the main accused Anu Mehta and now, after lapse of more than 02 years, the present complaint*



containing false allegations has been filed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, insofar as allegation regarding receipt of money is concerned, money was paid by the complainant on account of supply of general goods by the petitioner.

5. Notice of motion for 21.10.2024.

6. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

7. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Narinder Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 12.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 21, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No