



251 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46069-2024  
Date of decision:28.11.2024

SHAMBHU SINGH TOMAR  
...Petitioner  
VERSUS  
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER  
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Brar, Advocate for  
Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.  
  
Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of of FIR No.0001 dated 10.02.2022 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Hisar, on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Nitesh Sharma against the petitioner.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.
4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.



5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hisar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0001 dated 10.02.2022 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Hisar, and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

28.11.2024  
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |