



CWP-23640-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-23640-2024

Date of Decision : September 17, 2024

Smt. Jaswinder Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 21.04.2011 (Annexure P-5), the family pension of the petitioner was revised from Rs.5580/- to Rs.5910/- but the same has not been implemented and the petitioner has not been given any arrears as even upto now, the petitioner is being paid family pension of Rs.5580/-, which is arbitrary and illegal and the respondents are liable to be directed to implement the order dated 21.04.2011 (Annexure P-5) with all consequential benefits including the interest on the arrears admissible to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 13.03.2023 (Annexure P-10) which is

**CWP-23640-2024****2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 13.03.2023 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 17, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No