



CRWP-8898-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8898-2024
Date of decision: 13.09.2024**

Lakshmi and another**....Petitioners.**

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and ors**....Respondents.****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Parvesh K. Banwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh.


.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. Heard.

3. At the very outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rajesh Kumar, Police Station, Manimajra, U.T. Chandigarh, has submitted a report dated 13.09.2024 that the petitioners are not residing at the given address, as per the statement given by Nirmal Kumar, who is the owner of the property. Copy of the report (*supra*) is taken on record and marked as Annexure 'X'.



CRWP-8898-2024

-2-

4. In view of the aforesaid fact, learned counsel for the petitioners, prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to file the petition afresh with better particulars.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

13.09.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |