





Kumar and the petitioner was not named in the FIR. In fact, she has been falsely involved in the present case as unfortunately her husband was arrayed as an accused in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner had no knowledge with regard to the share market/agreement accounts and other investment plans and Krishan Kumar, her husband had opened agreement accounts in her name by forging her signatures and the accounts were opened without her permission. He further contends that the petitioner is a simple household lady and has no knowledge with regard to the share market. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.04.2024 and challan has already been presented against her. Even her husband Krishan Kumar has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court and Anshul Kumar, co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail in CRM-M-39823-2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner (Ritu Rani) submits that the husband of the petitioner is working as a Sweeper in the Bank on adhoc basis and Krishan Kumar, the main accused had opened the bank account of the petitioner fraudulently. He further contends that the petitioner had never withdrawn any amount from the account. The petitioner was arrested on 12.08.2024 and the investigation qua her has already been completed by the police.

4. On the other hand, status report in CRM-M-45284-2024 by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarter, Karnal has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by

learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners were involved in a serious crime and the petitioners alongwith their co-accused had wrongly misappropriated an amount of Rs.8.70 crores.

5. I have heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, the allegations were mainly against Krishan Kumar, who has already been admitted to bail by this Court in CRM-M-3100-2023 (Annexure P-6). Another co-accused Anshul Kumar has also been admitted to bail by this Court. Moreover, both the petitioners are female and deserve sympathetic consideration by this Court. Moreover, the investigation has already been completed by the police and further custody of the petitioners will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

16.10.2024
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No