



223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50969-2022
Date of decision:20.09.2024

GIAN CHAND
...Petitioner
VERSUS
UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravi Nayak, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Vasundhra Dalal Anand, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.
Mr. Utkarsh Khatana, Advocate for respondent No.5.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

After hearing counsel for the parties, the present petition is hereby disposed of with direction to the competent authority i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh to look into the matter and decide the complaints/representations, if any, filed by the present petitioner against respondents No.5 and 6, in accordance with law, at the earliest, after giving opportunity of hearing to both the parties.

2. However, it is made clear that any observation made hereinabove is not to be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

20.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No
Whether Reportable : Yes No