



110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23347-2024

Date of decision: 26.11.2024

HASAN ALI

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present writ petition in order to enable the petitioner to move a comprehensive representation/demand justice notice to the respondent No.3/competent authority since he has not been promoted. He further submitted that a time frame work may also be fixed in this regard.
2. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana submitted that she has received an advance copy of the present writ petition and in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case any direction is issued to respondent No.3/competent authority to consider and decide the comprehensive representation/demand justice notice, if any, filed by the petitioner. She further submitted that she has no objection in case a time frame work is fixed in this regard.



3. In view of the aforesaid factual position and the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move any comprehensive representation/demand justice notice to the respondent No.3/competent authority. In the event of the petitioner moving any such comprehensive representation/demand justice notice, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority/respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner or his counsel, within a period of three months thereafter.

26.11.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No