



CRM-M-45989-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45989-2024

Date of decision: 25.11.2024

GAGANDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER**....Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Arjun Kapoor, Advocate for the complainant.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has placed on record the affidavit of Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala dated 23.11.2024. The same is taken on record.

2. Mr. Satnam Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-I, Patiala is present and has submitted that departmental action has been initiated against the erring official(s) and submits that in future he will be more careful.

3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482, BNSS, 2023, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -



FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
144	22.08.2024	420, 120-B IPC	Civil Lines, Patiala, District Patiala.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that in compliance to the order dated 26.09.2024, the petitioners have joined the investigation.
5. During the course of hearing on 26.09.2024, following order had been passed: -

“ 1. Status report in the form of affidavit dated 25.09.2024 of Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division City-1, District Patiala on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioners are directed to join investigation within seven days from today and cooperate with the same. In the event of arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

3. List on 28.10.2024. 4. Investigating Officer to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.”

6. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Jagjit Singh informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has opposed the bail.



8. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 26.09.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 26.09.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; they will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

9. The petition stands allowed.

10. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.11.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |