



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-23788 of 2024

Date of Decision: 18.09.2024

Satyabir Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel submits that the benefit of past Military service to the petitioner for the purpose of earned leave, casual leave, incremental benefits/Assured Career Progression (ACP) and seniority in terms of Notification dated 20.09.2016 and State Government Instructions dated 27.08.1998, Annexures P-4 and P-9 respectively has not been granted to the petitioner as also there being judgments of this Court, Annexures P-10 and P-11 to support the same. In this regard, a representation dated 18.06.2024, Annexure P-5, has been submitted by him, which has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

5. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 18.06.2024, Annexure P-5, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 18, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No