

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50661-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.01.2024

MXXX

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, AAG, Punjab.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

with the following prayer:

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that present petition may kindly be allowed and necessary directions may kindly be issued to respondents No.2 and 3 and to take action as per law against respondent No.4 in FIR No.135 dated 26.04.2023 registered under Sections 376(2)(n), 406 and 420 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (earlier the petitioner has lodged FIR No.9 dated 21.04.2023 under Sections 376(2)(n), 406 and 420 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Women Police Station, District Sirsa and it was zero FIR) (Annexure P-1) against the private respondent. Respondent No.3 in connivance with the private respondent is delaying the matter in illegal manner and also pressurizing the petitioner to withdraw and compromise in FIR against respondent No.4 and respondent No.3 is causing unnecessary delay in the proceedings; It is further prayed that the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondent.”

2. A status report, by way of affidavit of Harsimran Singh Bal,

PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-II), District SAS Nagar was

filed on behalf of State. The relevant para thereof reads as under:

“In response to the same, it is most humbly submitted that acting on the complaint received from the

complainant/prosecutrix, the present case/FIR No. 135 (supra) was registered against the respondent No. 4 and the investigation was brought into motion. Thereafter, sincere efforts were made by the investigating agency to apprehend/arrest the respondent No. 4 by conducting raids at his house and other suspected places, however, he could not be arrested. The call detail records and tower locations of the alleged mobile number of the respondent No. 4 was obtained and examined, however, no clue about his whereabouts came to light. The LOC was got issued against the respondent No. 4, which is presently in operation. The arrest warrants of the respondent No. 4 have been obtained from the Id. Court and efforts are being made to execute the same by conducting raids at the house of respondent No. 4 and other suspected places. The deponent assures this Hon'ble Court that the investigating agency is making all possible efforts to trace out the respondent No.4 so as to take the investigation of the present case/FIR No.135 (supra) to its logical conclusion.”

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the available record.
4. In view of the averments contained in the status report, no further directions are called for at this stage.
5. Disposed off.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

18.01.2024

Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No