IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.50690 of 2023
Date of decision: 1st March, 2024

Parveen @ Parveen Kumar

… Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

… Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Tushar Sandhi, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.517 dated 30.07.2023 under Sections 409 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa.

2. On 20.10.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned State counsel, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

> *"Learned State counsel, assisted by counsel for the complainant, submits that the petitioner, who is working as a Clerk in the Head Post Office, had duped the complainant of an amount of ₹1 lakh on the assurance that it would be used for getting Kisan Vikas Patra, however, instead he has usurped the said amount."*

RATTAN PAL SINGH
2024.03.01 17.31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 20.10.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagpal Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 20.10.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 1, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

RATTAN PAL SINGH
2024.03.01 17.31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document