



CRWP-8877-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-8877-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.09.2024

Shahana and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Shahana and Navpreet have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary direction to official respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 15.02.2002. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 his date of birth is 12.04.2001. Petitioner No.1 is a married woman having one male child who is residing with her. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) dated 06.09.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondents No.4 & 5 who are husband and mother-in-law of petitioner No.2.

3. Notice of motion.

**CRWP-8877-2024****-2-**

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondent.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

12.09.2024*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No