

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-17909-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP No.21162 of 2023
Date of Decision : 12-11-2024**

VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karan Sachdeva, Advocate with
Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-17909-CWP-2024

Present application has been filed by petitioner No.2 for modification/clarification of the interim order dated 21.09.2023 in the main writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further requests to take up the main case also today for final disposal.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection to the prayer as raised by the counsel for the petitioners.

Keeping in view the above, prayer is allowed and the hearing of the main petition i.e. CWP No.21162 of 2023 is taken on board for final hearing today itself after pre-poning from 05.02.2025 alongwith the application listed for today filed by petitioner No.2.

CWP No.21162 of 2023 (O&M)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that the name of the petitioners was put in the discrepancy list dated 28.08.2023 in respect of the Advertisement dated 10.11.2022, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-1 by which, the recruitment process of Punjab Civil Services (Executive Branch) from Register A-II was initiated and by an interim order, the petitioners were allowed to compete in the selection process.

In the reply to the main petition, the respondents have stated that keeping in view the facts that an employee should not only be regular but confirmed before he/she can be allowed to participate in pursuance to the Advertisement in question but certain information was not available qua the petitioners with regard to regular appointment and confirmation hence, their names were put in the discrepancy list.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that qua the present petitioners namely Jagwant Kaur and Sunil Kumar, the District and Sessions Judge, Fazilka has already informed the date of regular appointment and completion of probation and confirmation on the post in question and appropriate decision with regard to their eligibility will be taken by the State in accordance with the law as well as terms and conditions of the Advertisement dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) and in case, the petitioners are eligible as per the Annexure R-1 attached with the reply, they

will be allowed to compete in the selection process without there being any hindrance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that keeping in view of the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

12-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO