

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-23500-2024
Date of Decision : 17.09.2024

GURJINDER SINGH
.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

.... RESPONDENTS


CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Akshit Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Addl. Standing Counsel and
Ms. Aashna Gill, Junior Panel Counsel
for the respondent-U.T.Chandigarh.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner claims that there is mistake in Question No.47 of Part-A in paper Booklet Code : B. He as per prescribed procedure filed objections, however, respondent neither at preliminary stage nor at final stage has considered his objection. The answer key is disclosing incorrect answer and it has caused prejudice to him.
2. Ms. Madhu Dayal, Addl. Standing Counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that as per her instructions, the competent authority has already considered objection of the petitioner and an appropriate order would be communicated to the petitioner within three days from today.



3. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application if he does not get communication from respondent.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2024
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No