



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 22986 of 2024
Date of Decision : 11.09.2024

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that rather than withdrawing the grant of additional charge to the petitioner of the post of Sub-Centre Baraunga Zer, P.H.C. Chanarthal Kalan, Fatehgarh Sahib, the posting of the petitioner from Sub-Centre Badhauchhi Kalan, District Fatehgarh Sahib has been withdrawn, which is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had only joined at Fatehgarh Sahib recently in pursuance to his transfer order dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-6), hence, cancelling the said transfer is arbitrary and illegal. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation that the petitioner be allowed to work at Sub-Centre Badhauchhi Kalan, District Fatehgarh Sahib and only the additional charge of Sub-Centre Baraunga Zer,



P.H.C. Chanarthal Kalan, Fatehgarh Sahib be withdrawn and the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner so that petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be considered sympathetically and an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of four weeks from the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 11, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No