



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CWP-23170-2024
Date of Decision: 12.09.2024

DR. SURENDER DAHIYA AND OTHERS ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioners under Punjab Civil Services Rules (CSR) Vol.-II (now Haryana Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 2016), in place of New Defined Contributory Pension Scheme, in accordance with notification No.1/1/2004-1 Pension (FD) dated 08.05.2023, Annexure P-4, for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.4 is directed to decide the pending legal notice, dated 18.01.2024, Annexure P-8, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the first and second respondent. Mr. Anuj Dewan, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the fourth respondent and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 18.01.2024, will be decided by the fourth respondent-Registrar, Deenbandu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

12.09.2024
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No