



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

329**CRM-M-45597-2024****Date of decision: 21.10.2024****CHANDER BHAN AND ORS**

....Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kashyap, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Ms.Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. is seeking quashing of FIR No.478 dated 03.11.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Chandi Mandir, District Panchkula, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 05.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 13.09.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 20.09.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula in pursuance of the directions of this Court,



CRM-M-45597-2024

-2-

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties (in original), alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 21, 2024

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No