

**CWP-23027-2024****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(128)****CWP-23027-2024****Date of Decision : September 11, 2024****Nidhi****.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate and  
Ms. Neha Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,  
Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred on the basis of option exercised by her but she inadvertently exercised the option, which fact was also brought into the notice of the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that ignoring the request of the petitioner for the change of her inadvertently exercised option, the petitioner has been transferred to a place where she never wanted to go.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that after the transfer order dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-3), the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) with the

**CWP-23027-2024****2**

respondents, which is still pending consideration and the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Charan Preet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, it is found feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

**September 11, 2024**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No