

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23035-2024

Date of decision :11.09.2024

Jain Institute of Nursing and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.


Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, and
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 – PNR.

Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.3 – University.

Mr. Ambanshu Sahni, Advocate,
for respondent No.4 – INC.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. When the case is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the State of Punjab very fairly submitted that there is a typographical mistake in letter dated 22.12.2021 (Annexure P-7), whereby the Essentiality Certificate in favour of petitioner No.1 Institute was in fact issued in respect of B.Sc. Nursing (Post Basic) course with intake of 60 seats, but the last line of second paragraph of the letter did not mention “(Post Basic)”, and has merely



mentioned B.Sc. Nursing course. It is further submitted by the learned State counsel that the said mistake is in the process of being rectified and shall be done within a period of one week.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 – University submits that he has instructions that after the said rectification is done by the State, respondent No.3 – University shall conduct necessary inspection and pass appropriate order in respect of affiliation of the Institute for the academic year 2024-2025.

3. In view of the aforesaid statements made by learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.3 – University, the petition stands disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

September 11, 2024
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No