



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 22979 of 2024
Date of Decision : 11.09.2024

Kamaljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, the Head of the department of Education i.e. the Secretary, Department of School Education could not be impleaded as party to the present petition, hence, the Secretary, Department of school Education, Government of Punjab be impleaded as respondent No. 6.
2. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case as well as the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Punjab is impleaded as respondent No. 6 in the present petition.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been suspended by the respondents on certain allegations which are incorrect and the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 22.08.2024 against the suspension order, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-4



and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 6 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 22.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 11, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No