



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.45002 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th December, 2024

Abhishek Tailor
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 BNSS in case FIR No.417 dated 22.07.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala.
2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 10.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is merely an employee of co-accused Vivek Chandwani; he had just accompanied co-accused Pratap Chand Joshi to the house of the complainant and had not given any allurement as alleged in the FIR in

question. It has also been contended that co-accused Pratap Chand Joshi has since been extended the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 14.08.2024 (Annexure P-4).”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.09.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ashok, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 10.09.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No