



104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-8786-2024
Date of Decision:10.09.2024

JAGJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER
....Petitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.4 to 6.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 an unmarried female aged about more than 18 years and petitioner No.2 a male aged 20 years are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in this regard petitioners have already given representation dated 05.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran seeking protection to their life and liberty but the police authorities failed to take any action in this regard till date.



4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that both the petitioners are more than 18 years of age and are living together with their own free will and consent. It is further submitted that in case any such representation submitted by the petitioners is pending, the same will be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law at the earliest.
6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to consider and decide representation dated 05.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 6 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

10.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No