



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8800-2024

Date of Decision : **10.09.2024**

KHUSHI SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Nasir Jamal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuing a *mandamus* upon the official respondents to provide adequate protection to the present petitioners at the hands of respondents no.5 to 9.

2. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioners being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of their grievance, yet they have sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

3. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition, however, with liberty reserved to the petitioners to recourse with an

alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance.

4. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with the aforesaid liberty.

September 10, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No