



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CWP No. 23193 of 2024
Date of Decision : 12.09.2024

Dalbir Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is physically disabled and her husband is also disabled and she has a mother who is 83 years old to take care of, has been transfer to Govt. Senior Secondary School, Bhangala, District Hoshiarpur, which is near Pathankot. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said transfer order is bad and has been passed without considering the issue qua the disabled employee.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representations dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-7) and dated 19.10.2016 (Annexure P-8) but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to



decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representations dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-7) and dated 19.10.2016 (Annexure P-8) have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 12, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No