



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CRWP-8766-2024
Date of Decision : 12.11.2024

Jatinderpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of mandamus, upon the official respondents, i.e. respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10.
2. This Court, vide order dated 10.09.2024, directed the respondent-State, to evaluate the threat perception to the petitioner, and thereafter, to file reply to the instant petition.
3. In deference to the directions (supra), the respondent-State has filed a short reply dated 14.10.2024, which is on the record. In paragraph No.4 of the reply (supra), it was specifically mentioned that there is no threat perception to the present petitioner. The relevant extract of the reply (supra), reads as under :-

“4. That in view of the facts and circumstances, it is submitted with utmost respect that there is no threat perception to the petitioner at the hands of the private



respondents. A comprehensive and impartial inquiry was conducted. The findings of this inquiry indicate that the petitioner's allegations lack credible support and seem to stem from ongoing personal disputes rather than any genuine threat to his life and liberty.”

4. In view of the above, no further direction is required to be passed, in the instant petition. Accordingly, the same is hereby **dismissed**. However, in case, in future, the petitioner has any real threat perception to his life and liberty, he can approach to the authorities concerned. In case, the petitioner approaches the authorities concerned, after evaluating the threat perception, the latter would take appropriate legal action, in accordance with law.
5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 12, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No