



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CR-5230-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.09.2024

Pallavi Yadav through her Power of Attorney holder Akshay Ruhel

....Petitioner

Versus

Vinay Malik and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Narender Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate-cum-Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Samalkha, District Panipat, whereby notice of application under Order 39 Rule 2-A CPC has been ordered to be issued to the petitioner along with other respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not a party to the suit wherein the order dated 16.06.2023, as modified vide order dated 05.07.2023, was passed.
3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present revision petition with liberty to take up all the



CR-5230-2024 (O&M)

-2-

pleas raised in the present petition before the concerned Court, in its reply to be filed in response to the notice issued vide order dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-1).

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

September 10, 2024
Varinder

(VIKAS SURJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No