



ARB-413-2022

-1-

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-413-2022

Date of decision:-30.09.2024

M/s Haryana Electrical Equipment

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr.Dixit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr.Daanish Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition filed under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short “the Act”), petitioner has approached this Court for appointment of a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of this petition, by communications dated 03.09.2024 and 09.09.2024,



ARB-413-2022

-2-

112

respondents have sought the consent of the petitioner for appointment of one of the persons named therein as an arbitrator. Counsel submits that in view of this development, petition has become infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

30.09.2024

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE