



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

COCP No.3630 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2024

SUSHILA KUMARI ALIAS SUSHILA DEVI AND ANOTHERPetitioners
Vs
VINAY KUMAR AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**
Present: Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel representing the respondents submits that name of petitioner No.2 stands recommended for the post of Art and Craft Teacher and on instructions, he further submits that necessary appointment letter shall be issued within two weeks from today. As regards petitioner No.1 i.e. Sushila Kumari @ Sushila Devi, he informs that her claim has been rejected by way of passing a speaking order dated 16.10.2024 by the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
2. In view of the aforesaid undertaking by the respondent(s), learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition, however, seeks liberty to challenge the speaking order dated 16.10.2024 whereby the claim of petitioner No.1 has been rejected.
4. Dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid liberty. Rule stands discharged.
5. However, in case the needful with regard to petitioner No.2 is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner No.2 would be at liberty to seek revival of contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned officer would be liable to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- as cost(s) from his/her own pocket in favour of petitioner No.2 towards litigation expenses, immediately i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

October 29, 2024
Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No