



CWP-23006-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-23006-2024

Date of Decision : September 11, 2024

Satnam Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, with
Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner, who is working with the respondents on daily wage basis from the year 1997 onwards, is claiming the benefit of regularization of his services.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working with the respondents for more than two and half decades but his services have not been regularized and neither he has been paid minimum of the salary as being given to the regular employees working on the said post which is contrary to settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others* (2017) 1 SCC 148.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) which is still

**CWP-23006-2024****2**

pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

September 11, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No