

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201(2) CRM-M-49220-2022
Date of Decision: 15.05.2024

SATINDER KAURPetitioner
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondent

CRM-M-50705-2022

SATNAM SINGHPetitioner
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Ms. Upasna Gandhi, Advocate for
Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate with
Mr. Ankit Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two petitions titled above, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.125 dated 01.10.2022, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that as a result of settlement effected before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have received the balance payment of Rs. 1,25,000/-, as paid by the petitioners and, therefore, respondent Nos. 2

and 3 have no objection so as to confirm the interim anticipatory bail as granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the parties also submit that they will file independent petition for seeking quashing of FIR in question.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the interim anticipatory bail earlier granted to the petitioners namely Satinder Kaur and Satnam Singh vide order 21.10.2022 and 31.07.2023 is hereby confirmed.

5. However, the petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. Disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected case.

15.05.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No