

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-53636-2021****Date of decision : 21.02.2024**

Gurjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJAPresent : Ms. Sunaina, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Sidharth Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Jashan Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

HARKESH MANUJA.J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC, prayer has been made for the issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to register a criminal case against respondents No. 5 and 6 for illegal cutting of around 15 safeda trees, standing in the Gaushala, on Panchayat land of Nagar Panchayat Joga, Tehsil Joga, District Mansa.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client

would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of police, Mansa, to look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the petition.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

21.02.2024
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No
Whether Reportable ? Yes/No