

CRM-M No. 114 of 2022

278

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 114 of 2022
Date of Decision: 13.11.2024

Ranjit Singh...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
211	27.09.2021	Samrala, District Ludhiana	447, 506 IPC

1. Seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to take action against respondent No.3 and also to transfer the investigation of FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.
2. State counsel submits that the present petition has rendered infructuous as challan has already been presented.
3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that adequate protection be provided to the petitioner as he has apprehension of danger to life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6.
4. Given above, the concerned SHO to assess threat perception of the petitioner. If the concerned SHO finds threat perception to be true, then he may provide protection on the basis of day to day analysis.
5. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits and that this order is not a blanket bail in any FIR. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case. It shall also be open for the petitioner to approach this Court again in case of any fresh threat perception.

**CRM-M No. 114 of 2022**

6. ***This order shall eclipse after fifteen days from today.***

7. Since this order shall eclipse after fifteen days, therefore, if any PSO is deputed, in that case the concerned PSO shall also return back. After that, if the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police wants to provide any further security, he/she may provide at his/her own level and not based on the order of this Court.

8. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

13.11.2024
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.