



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(131)

CWP No. 22723 of 2024
Date of Decision : 09.09.2024

Sanjeev Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a representation with a prayer that petitioner be posted at a station which is near to a station where the wife of the petitioner is working keeping in view the couple case.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be considered sympathetically and an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of three weeks from the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons



will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

September 09, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No