



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 22988 of 2024
Date of Decision : 11.09.2024

Raj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioners are claiming that their pay should be fixed by taking into account multiplier factor of 2.59 and their basic pay should be fixed w.e.f. 01.01.2016 and they should be granted the arrears of pension from the said date along with interest @ 18% p.a. The further grievance of the petitioners is that the benefit of the revision of the pension should also be given to the retirees who retired prior to 01.01.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-14) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-14) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 11, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No