

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

223

Decided on :07.03.2024

(I) RSA-2412-2016 (O&M)
Sunder Lal Saini and others . .Appellants
Versus

Manju Bala & others . . . Respondents

(II) RSA-2547-2016 (O&M)
Sunder Lal Saini and others . .Appellants
Versus

Manju Bala & others . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
PRESENT: None for the appellants.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. No one has appeared on behalf of the appellants in both appeals.
2. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellants submits that probably all the appellants have already retired from service and they might have lost the interest in the present appeals.
3. Perusal of the file reveals that on the last date of hearing also there was no representation on behalf of the appellants in both appeals.
4. It can be safely presumed that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.
5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

07.03.2024

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE