



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(105+235)

CM-14569-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-24590-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 18.10.2024

Sukhwinder Singh Brar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Navyug Geet Brar, Advocate and
Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that inadvertently, one of the conditions imposed in the order by which the petitioner was appointed as Assistant District Transport Officer was not challenged, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No