



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25845-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25-07-2024

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the suspension order dated 12.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) has already been withdrawn, no grievance qua the said order remains, but after expiry of six months in case the suspension is allowed to continue and the cause of delay is not attributable to the employee, the subsistence allowance is to be enhanced to 75%, which benefit has not been extended to the petitioner in the present case.

Learned State counsel submits that in case grievance is received at the hands of the petitioner for enhancement of the subsistence allowance on expiry of six months, the said issue will be examined and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is

found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed off.

25-07-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO