

CR-5475-2023

2024.PHHC.172595



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CR-5475-2023

Date of decision : 20.12.2024

Rajinder Kaur @ Harjinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

versus

Paramjit Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate
for the respondent.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. On 12.12.2024, following order was passed:-

“On 02.12.2024 the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that in principle the matter stands settled. The petitioner shall pay back the principal amount of Rs.10.00 lakhs to the respondent.

Respondent has graciously agreed to forego the interest amount.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to furnish the payment schedule along with affidavit of the petitioner, as keeping in view that her husband died on 17.11.2024, she needs to figure out the modalities.

Mr. S.S.Rangi, Advocate submits that the settlement is subject to the condition that the petitioner is bound down to her offer.

Adjourned to 12.12.2024.

To be shown in urgent list.”



Today Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate has filed his vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner. He submits that he has been recently engaged and prays for time to argue the matter.

Prima-facie this Court is of the opinion that the whole effort is to hoodwink the process of the law, yet in the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the order dated 02.12.2024.

Adjourned to 17.12.2024.
To be shown in urgent list.”

2. Thereafter on 17.12.2024, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the husband of the petitioner, who is a Government employee has died on 17.11.2024. The petitioner is due to get his terminal benefits and shall file an undertaking by way of affidavit to pay the decretal amount on receipt of the same. He prays for time to file an affidavit.

Adjourned to 20.12.2024.
To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Prior thereto, counsel for the petitioner had also taken repeated adjournments on the pretext of settlement. Today, again there is a request for adjournment. Finding no ground to adjourn the matter, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No