



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44843-2024

Date of Decision:10.09.2024

FURKAN AHMED

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Jayia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T., Chandigarh with
Mr. Shaurya Nagpal, Advocate and
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner while limiting his arguments submits that petitioner will be satisfied if representation dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner is considered and decided by respondent No.2 in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and on instructions from SI Rajesh Kumar apprised the Court that SHO Police Station, Mani Majra is already seized of the matter and the representation of the petitioner will be disposed of in accordance with law within a short period. Counsel



appearing on behalf of U.T. Chandigarh further made prayer that complainant be directed to cooperate with the police in this matter.

4. The complainant should respond to the notice, if any, is served upon her with regard to aforesaid inquiry by appearing before the police official concerned.

4. In light of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

10.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No