



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44514-2024**

**Date of Decision : 13.12.2024**

**AKSHAY CHAWLA**

.....Petitioner(s)

***VERSUS***

**STATE OF HARYANA**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate,  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)**

1. On 09.09.2024, a co-ordinate bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“The prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.197 dated 28.08.2024 under Sections 221/121(1)/132 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the parties are having dispute with regard to the ancestral property. It is a simple case of scuffle but the case has been registered under the provisions of the obstructing public servant in discharge of public functions.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. A copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day. He seeks some time to file the status report. Learned State counsel submits that occurrence has taken place in a government office.

On asking of the Court, the learned State counsel submits that there is no medico-legal report. So, it can be inferred that the injuries are simple in nature.

Adjourned to 13.12.2024.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called by SHO/Investigating Officer and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required:

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

Status report be filed on or before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, has also filed a status report, dated 11.12.2024, by way of an affidavit of Sh.Om Parkash, DSP, City Kurukshetra, in court, which is ordered to be taken on record.

3. He further, on instructions imparted to him by the police official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 09.09.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

**December 13, 2024**

*dharamvir*

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No