



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 22592 of 2024
Date of Decision : 09.09.2024

Kulwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a disabled employee and the place where the petitioner has been posted, is not friendly qua the differently abled people, hence, without ascertaining all the facts, the petitioner has been transferred, especially when the petitioner is on extension in service.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner is aggrieved against the order of transfer in any manner, he can approach the State by filing representation and in case, any such representation is received by the State, the same will be considered in



accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of two weeks of the receipt of any such representation. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the request of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 09, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No