



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M No.44636 of 2024
Date of decision : 16.10.2024**

Raj Singh @ Raju

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Rashi Verma, Advocate, for
Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioner in case FIR No.35 dated 01.3.2019, under Sections 451, 376
and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District
Fazilka.

2. On 9.9.2024, the following order was passed:

*'Inter alia contends that the non-appearance of the petitioner before the
trial Court on the concerned date was inadvertent and bonafide; the
petitioner is willing to appear before the trial Court and face proceedings
in accordance with law.*

Notice of motion.

*On asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab appears and
accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.*

*The petitioner is permitted to appear before the trial Court on or before
the next date of hearing which is stated to be 22.09.2024. In case the
petitioner chooses to appear before the concerned Court, the said Court
shall admit him to interim bail.*

Adjourned to 03.10.2024.'



3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this court a copy of the order dated 20.9.2024, whereby the petitioner has appeared before the concerned Court and was granted bail as directed by this Court vide order dated 9.9.2024.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court deems it appropriate to confirm the interim bail order passed on 9.9.2024, subject to the petitioner continuing to appear before the trial Court and cooperate for expeditious disposal of the trial accordingly.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

16.10.2024

Ashwani

Ashwani Kumar
2024.10.16 18:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No