

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-44307-2024
Date of Decision : **October 24, 2024**

KARAMBIR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 9.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.264 dated 26.08.2024, under Sections 109(1), 115, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 25(1B) of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at P.S. Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia submits that the case in hand is a case of “no injury”. Moreover, no role whatsoever has been assigned to the petitioners in the present FIR. The petitioners are ready to join the investigation and to cooperate with the investigating officer.

3. At this stage, Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate, who records his appearance on behalf of the complainant, under

a validly executed Vakalatnama instituted before this Court today, opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

5. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

6. In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 9.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 24, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No