

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(223)

RSA-1826-2016
Date of Decision : April 18, 2024

Kewal Krishan .. Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the respondents had taken an objection that against the impugned order, the appeal lies and no appeal was preferred and in the present case, the order terminating the services of the appellant, the previous record has been seen which could not have been seen without there being any mention of the same in the show cause notice.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that liberty be given to the appellant to file appropriate representation to the higher authorities and the respondents be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.
3. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate

order on the said representation will be passed on merits without being influenced by the impugned orders of the Courts below, within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the appellant, the same will also be given to him by the appropriate authority otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the appellant for his information and necessary action.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

April 18, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No