



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

152

INCOMP-6173-2024

Date of decision: 02.12.2024

RAKESH KUMAR

....PETITIONER

Vs.

SHRI RAM CITY UNION FINANCE LTD.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The aforesaid Civil Revision was filed on 17.10.2024 by Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate. The Registry had raised certain objections on the case file on 18.10.2024. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections.
2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
3. A note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocates were requested to collect their cases lying with objections. As per office report, message at registered mobile number of the Advocate/his Clerk was also sent, however, nobody has come forward.
4. The instant case is shown in the cause list for today i.e. 02.12.2024, however, no one is present.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

02.12.2024
manoj**[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]**
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No