


2024:PHHC:116682-DB



CWP-22560-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.09.2024

Kaushik Construction ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present Mr. Mukul Singla, Advocate for the appellant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Notice of motion.
2. Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 while Mr. Rishab Kapoor, Sr. Standing Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4.
3. It is stated that the Customs Department has not sent the documents to the State of Haryana with regard to refund and in the absence of details from the Customs Department, the State of Haryana is unable to decide the refund application, which is pending for the last more than two years.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the Customs Department submits that if the documents relating to the petitioner are found to be in order, the same shall be sent to the Jurisdictional Officer for further action of refund.
5. We accordingly direct the Customs Department to send the details relating to the refund application of the petitioner at the earliest within

a period of two weeks from today. It is also directed that if for any reason the documents are not required to be sent, speaking order shall be passed by the concerned officer and conveyed to the petitioner, who would be free to challenge the same, in accordance with law. It is also clarified that once the documents are received, it is expected from the State of Haryana to take steps to issue the refund orders expeditiously within two months thereafter.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
7. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)

JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

06.09.2024

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No