



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44649 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th December, 2024

Shamsher Singh @ Veeru
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Harlove S. Rajput & Mr. J.S. Bains,
Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 BNSS in case FIR No.343 dated 18.12.2023 under Sections 307/324/323/325/452/506/34/148/149 of the IPC registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.
2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 09.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of version and cross version wherein both the parties have received injuries at the hands of each other. In fact, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory

bail in cross case bearing GD No.52 dated 22.12.2023 under Sections 307/324/323/34 of the IPC (Section 325 of the IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, in the abovementioned FIR and inadvertently in the head note and prayer clause of the petition, GD number has been missed out. It may be ordered to be corrected being a typographical error.

XXXX

XXXX

XXXX

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in a case of version and cross version in GDR No.52 dated 22.12.2023 on the basis of the occurrence dated 18.12.2023. Learned counsel submits that during the occurrence in question, the petitioner and his brother had sustained several injuries, including the injury on the neck of the petitioner and head of his brothers as a result of which an FIR under Sections 307/ 324/ 323/ 325/452/506/34/148/149 of the IPC was lodged against the accused persons. Learned counsel submits that in the cross case registered vide FIR No.343 dated 18.12.2023, accused persons had been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court. In support, attention of this Court has been drawn to Annexures P-8 and P-9.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 09.09.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sakattar Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on

further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 09.09.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No