



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Letters Patent Appeal No. 2139 of 2017

Date of Decision: 16.09.2024

Madan Lal

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon’ble Mr. Justice Sheel Nagu, Chief Justice.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate
for respondent No.1 and 2.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The appellant’s counsel, at the outset, prays for permission to withdraw the appeal in order to avail remedy under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1947 Act”) which is not opposed by the learned counsel representing the respondents.
2. In view of the above, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to seek reference under Section 10 of the 1947 Act. If the workman applies for reference, the appropriate authority will expedite its disposal. If the matter is referred to the Court, then efforts should be made to expedite its disposal.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge
September 16, 2024
“DK”

(Sheel Nagu)
Chief Justice

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No