



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22484-2024
Date of decision: 06.09.2024

Digital Electric Private LimitedPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Ankit Tandan, Advocate, and
Mr. Jatin Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, for clause 19 of the Purchase Order dated 21.02.2023 (P-6), envisages a dispute resolution mechanism, he be permitted to withdraw the petition, to enable the petitioner to invoke the said clause, in the first instance. As also, to avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, along with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, and Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate, for respondent No.2, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that in the event, the petitioner invokes the clause, as indicated above, the necessary application/representation in this regard shall be taken cognizance of and dealt with, by the competent authority, in accordance with law.

As prayed for, dismissed as withdrawn.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

06.09.2024/Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No